# **Atomic and Nuclear Physics**

Introductory experiments Dualism of wave and particle LD Physics Leaflets

Diffraction of electrons in a polycrystalline lattice (Debye-Scherrer diffraction)

## **Objects of the experiment**

- Determination of wavelength of the electrons
- Verification of the de Broglie's equation
- Determination of lattice plane spacings of graphite



Bi 0206

Fig. 1: Schematic representation of the observed ring pattern due to the diffraction of electrons on graphite. Two rings with diameters D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> are observed corresponding to the lattice plane spacings d<sub>1</sub> and d<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 3).

#### Principles

h p

 $\lambda =$ 

Louis de Broglie suggested in 1924 that particles could have wave properties in addition to their familiar particle properties. He hypothesized that the wavelength of the particle is inversely proportional to its momentum:

(I)

- λ: wavelengthh: Planck's constant
- p: momentum

His conjecture was confirmed by the experiments of Clinton Davisson and Lester Germer on the diffraction of electrons at crystalline Nickel structures in 1927.

In the present experiment the wave character of electrons is demonstrated by their diffraction at a polycrystalline graphite lattice (Debye-Scherrer diffraction). In contrast to the experiment of Davisson and Germer where electron diffraction is observed in reflection this setup uses a transmission diffraction type similar to the one used by G.P. Thomson in 1928.

From the electrons emitted by the hot cathode a small beam is singled out through a pin diagram. After passing through a focusing electron-optical system the electrons are incident as sharply limited monochromatic beam on a polycrystalline graphite foil. The atoms of the graphite can be regarded as a space lattice which acts as a diffracting grating for the electrons. On the fluorescent screen appears a diffraction pattern of two concentric rings which are centred around the indiffracted electron beam (Fig. 1). The diameter of the concentric rings changes with the wavelength  $\lambda$  and thus with the accelerating voltage U as can be seen by the following considerations:

LD Didactic GmbH · Leyboldstrasse 1 · D-50354 Huerth / Germany · Phone: (02233) 604-0 · Fax: (02233) 604-222 · e-mail: info@ld-didactic.de

From energy equation for the electrons accelerated by the voltage  $\ensuremath{\mathsf{U}}$ 

$$e \cdot U = \frac{1}{2}m \cdot v^2 = \frac{p^2}{2 \cdot m}$$
(II)

U: accelerating voltage

e: electron charge

m: mass of the particle

v: velocity of the particle

the momentum p can be derived as

$$p = m \cdot v = \sqrt{2 \cdot e \cdot m \cdot U} \tag{III}$$

Substituting equation (III) in equation (I) gives for the wavelength:

$$\lambda = \frac{h}{\sqrt{2 \cdot m \cdot e \cdot U}} \tag{IV}$$

In 1913, H. W. and W. L. Bragg realized that the regular arrangement of atoms in a single crystal can be understood as an array of lattice elements on parallel lattice planes. When we expose such a crystal lattice to monochromatic x-rays or mono-energetic electrons, and, additionally assuming that those have a wave nature, then each element in a lattice plane acts as a "scattering point", at which a spherical wavelet forms. According to Huygens' principle, these spherical wavelets are superposed to create a "reflected" wave front. In this model, the wavelength  $\lambda$  remains unchanged with respect to the "incident" wave front, and the radiation directions which are perpendicular to the two wave fronts fulfil the condition "angle of incidence = angle of reflection".

Constructive interference arises in the neighbouring rays reflected at the individual lattice planes when their path differences  $\Delta = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 = 2 \cdot d \cdot \sin \vartheta$  are integer multiples of the wavelength  $\lambda$  (Fig. 2):

 $2 \cdot d \cdot \sin \vartheta = n \cdot \lambda$  n = 1, 2, 3, ...

d: lattice plane spacing

θ: diffraction angle

This is the so called 'Bragg condition' and the corresponding diffraction angle  $\vartheta$  is known as the glancing angle.

In this experiment a polycrystalline material is used as diffraction object. This corresponds to a large number of small single crystallites which are irregularly arranged in space. As a result there are always some crystals where the Bragg condition is satisfied for a given direction of incidence and wavelength. The reflections produced by these crystallites lie on a cones whose common axis is given by the direction of incidence. Concentric circles thus appear on a screen located perpendicularly to this axis. The lattice planes which are important for the electron diffraction pattern obtained with this setup possess the lattice plane spacings (Fig. 3):

 $d_1 = 2.13 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}$  $d_2 = 1.23 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}$ 

From Fig. 4 we can deduce the relationship

 $\tan 2 \cdot \vartheta = \frac{\mathsf{D}}{2 \cdot \mathsf{L}}$ 



Fig. 2: Schematic representation of the Bragg condition.

If we approximate tan  $2 \cdot \vartheta = \sin 2 \cdot \vartheta = 2 \cdot \sin \vartheta$  for small angles we obtain

$$2 \cdot \sin \vartheta = \frac{\mathsf{D}}{2 \cdot \mathsf{L}} \tag{VII}$$

The substitution of equation (VII) in (V) leads in first order diffraction (n = 1) to

$$\lambda = d \cdot \frac{D}{2 \cdot L} \tag{VIII}$$

D: ring diameter

L: distance between graphite and screen

d: lattice plane spacing



Fig. 3 Lattice plane spacings in graphite:  $d_1 = 2.13 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}$  $d_2 = 1.23 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}$ 

LD Didactic GmbH · Leyboldstrasse 1 · D-50354 Huerth / Germany · Phone: (02233) 604-0 · Fax: (02233) 604-222 · e-mail: info@ld-didactic.de

(VI)

(V)

Apparatus		
1 Electron diffraction tube	555	626
1 Tube stand	555	600
1 High-voltage power supply 10 kV	521	70
1 Precision vernier callipers	311	54
1 Safety Connection Lead 25 cm red	500	611
1 Safety Connection Lead 50 cm red	500	621
1 Safety Connection Lead 100 cm red	500	641
1 Safety Connection Lead 100 cm blue	500	642
2 Safety Connection Lead 100 cm black	500	644

Due to equation (IV) the wavelength  $\lambda$  is determined by the accelerating voltage U. Combining the equation (IV) and equation (VIII) shows that the diameters D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> of the concentric rings change with the accelerating voltage U:

$$D = k \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{U}}$$
(IX)

with

$$k = \frac{2 \cdot L \cdot h}{d \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot m \cdot e}}$$
(X)

Measuring Diameters  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  as function of the accelerating voltage U allows thus to determine the lattice plane spacings  $d_1$  and  $d_2.$ 



Fig. 4: Schematic sketch for determining the diffraction angle.
L = 13.5 cm (distance between graphite foil and screen),
D: diameter of a diffraction ring observed on the screen
ψ: diffraction angle
For meaning of F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>, C, X and A see Fig. 5.

Setup

The experimental setup (wiring diagram) is shown in Fig. 5.

- Connect the cathode heating sockets F1 and F2 of the tube stand to the output on the back of the high-voltage power supply 10 kV.
- Connect the sockets C (cathode cap) and X (focussing electrode) of the tube stand to the negative pole.
- Connect the socket A (anode) to the positive pole of the 5 kV/2 mA output of the high-voltage power supply 10 kV.
- Ground the positive pole on the high-voltage power supply 10 kV.

#### Safety notes

When the electron diffraction tube is operated at high voltages over 5 kV, X-rays are generated.

Do not operate the electron diffraction tube with high voltages over 5 keV.

The connection of the electron diffraction tube with grounded anode given in this instruction sheet requires a highvoltage enduring voltage source for the cathode heating.

Use the high-voltage power supply 10 kV (521 70) for supplying the electron diffraction tube with power.

Danger of implosion: the electron diffraction tube is a high-vacuum tube made of thin-walled glass.

- Do not expose the electron diffraction tube to mechanical stress, and connect it only if it is mounted in the tube stand.
- Treat the contact pins in the pin base with care, do not bend them, and be careful when inserting them in the tube stand.

The electron diffraction tube may be destroyed by voltages or currents that are too high:

Keep to the operating parameters given in the section on technical data.



Fig. 5: Experimental setup (wiring diagram) for observing the electron diffraction on graphite. Pin connection:

- F<sub>1</sub>, F<sub>2</sub>: sockets for cathode heating
- C: cathode cap
- X: focusing electrode
- A: anode (with polycrystalline graphite foil see Fig. 4)

LD Didactic GmbH · Leyboldstrasse 1 · D-50354 Huerth / Germany · Phone: (02233) 604-0 · Fax: (02233) 604-222 · e-mail: info@ld-didactic.de

### Carrying out the experiment

- Apply an accelerating voltage U  $\leq$  5 kV and observe the diffraction pattern.

Hint: The direction of the electron beam can be influenced by means of a magnet which can be clamped on the neck of tube near the electron focusing system. To illuminate an another spot of the sample an adjustment of the magnet might be necessary if at least two diffraction rings cannot be seen perfectly in the diffraction pattern.

- Vary the accelerating voltage U between 3 kV and 5 kV in step of 0.5 kV and measure the diameter D<sub>1</sub> and D<sub>2</sub> of the diffraction rings on the screen (Fig. 1).
- Measure the distance between the graphite foil and the screen.

Table 2: Measured diameter  $D_1$  of the concentric diffraction rings as function of the accelerating voltage U. The wavelengths  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_{1,theory}$  are determined by equation (VIII); and equation (IV), respectively.

$\frac{U}{kV}$	$\frac{D_1}{cm}$	$\frac{\lambda_1}{pm}$	$\frac{\lambda_{\rm 1, theroy}}{pm}$
3.0	3.30	22.9	22.4
3.5	2.83	21.1	20.7
4.0	2.66	19.4	19.4
4.5	2.40	18.5	18.3
5.0	2.33	17.6	17.3

Table 3: Measured diameter  $D_2$  of the concentric diffraction rings as function of the accelerating voltage U. The wavelengths  $\lambda_2$  and  $\lambda_{2,\text{theory}}$  are determined by equation (VIII); and equation (IV), respectively.

$\frac{U}{kV}$	$\frac{D_2}{cm}$	$\frac{\lambda_2}{pm}$	$\frac{\lambda_{2,\text{therory}}}{pm}$
3.0	5.25	22.6	22.4
3.5	4.88	21.0	20.7
4.0	4.58	19.7	19.4
4.5	4.35	18.6	18.3
5.0	4.12	17.5	17.3

# Measuring example

Table 1: Measured diameters  $\mathsf{D}_1$  and  $\mathsf{D}_2$  (average of 5 measurements) of the concentric diffraction rings as function of the accelerating voltage U.

U kV	$\frac{D_1}{cm}$	$\frac{D_2}{cm}$
3.0	3.30	5.25
3.5	2.83	4.88
4.0	2.66	4.58
4.5	2.40	4.35
5.0	2.33	4.12

Distance between graphite foil and screen: L = 13.5 cm

## **Evaluation and results**

#### a) Determination of wavelength of the electrons

From the measured values for  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  and the lattice plane spacings  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  the wavelength can be determined using equation (VIII). The result for  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  is summarized in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively.

Note: Rewriting equation (VIII) as

$$d = \lambda \cdot \frac{2 \cdot L}{D}$$

shows that the diameter D of the rings (Fig. 1) is inversely proportional to the lattice plane spacings d (Fig. 2). This information is necessary for the evaluation of the wavelength from the lattice plane spacings (here assumed as known) according equation (VIII). The lattice plane parameters are derived directly in part c) using equations (IX) and (X).

The dominant error in the measurement is the determination of the ring diameters  $D_1$  and  $D_2$ . For an accuracy of reading about 2 mm the error is approximately 5% for the outer ring and approximately 10% for the inner ring.

#### b) Verification of the de Broglie's equation

The de Broglie relation (equation (I)) can be verified using

 $e = 1.6021 \cdot 10^{-19} C$ 

 $m = 9.1091 \cdot 10^{-31} \text{ kg}$ 

 $h = 6.6256 \cdot 10^{-34} J \cdot s$ 

in equation (IV). The results for the wavelengths determined by equation (IV) are  $\lambda_{1,theroy}$  and  $\lambda_{2,theory}$ . They are listed for the diameters  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  in Table 2 and Table 3, respectively. The values  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  determined from the diffraction pattern agree quite well with the theoretical values  $\lambda_{1,theroy}$  and  $\lambda_{2,theory}$  due to the de Broglie relation.

#### c) Determination of lattice plane spacings of graphite

In Fig. 6 the ring diameters  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are plotted versus  $1/\sqrt{U}$ . The slopes  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are determined by linear fits through the origin according equation (IX) to the experimental data:

$$k_2 = 2.729 \cdot m \sqrt{V}$$

Resolving equation (X) for the lattice plane spacing d

$$d = \frac{2 \cdot L \cdot h}{k \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot m \cdot e}}$$

LD Didactic GmbH · Leyboldstrasse 1 · D-50354 Huerth / Germany · Phone: (02233) 604-0 · Fax: (02233) 604-222 · e-mail: info@ld-didactic.de

©by LD Didactic GmbH



Fig. 6: Ring diameters  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  as function of  $1/\sqrt{U}$ . The solid lines correspond to the linear fits with the slopes  $k_1 = 1.578 \text{ m} \sqrt{V}$  and  $k_2 = 2.729 \text{ m} \sqrt{V}$ , respectively.

gives

 $d_1 = 2.10 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}$  $d_2 = 1.21 \cdot 10^{-10} \text{ m}$ 

which is within the error limits in accordance of the parameters depicted in Fig. 3.

## Supplementary information

After the experiment of Davisson and Germer further experiments with particle wave effects due to particles confirmed the de Broglie relation and thus the wave-particle dualism. In 1930, for instance, O. Stern and I. Esterman succeeded in demonstrating the diffraction of hydrogen molecules and in 1931 they diffracted Helium atoms using a Lithium Fluoride crystal.

Experimental results which can be described by quantum theory only have the Planck constant h in their basic formula. In this experiment, for instance, the Planck's constant can be determined from equation (X) if the lattice spacings  $d_1$  and  $d_2$  of graphite are assumed to be known e.g. from x-ray structure analysis:

$$h = \frac{d \cdot k \cdot \sqrt{2 \cdot m \cdot e}}{2 \cdot L}$$

Using the values  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  obtained by the linear fit to experimental data (Fig. 6) gives

Literature:  $h = 6.6256 \cdot 10^{-34} \text{ J} \cdot \text{s}$ 

LD Didactic GmbH · Leyboldstrasse 1 · D-50354 Huerth / Germany · Phone: (02233) 604-0 · Fax: (02233) 604-222 · e-mail: info@ld-didactic.de